UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/808,180	03/24/2004	Joseph Pierre Heremans	65899-0726	4588
	7590 08/20/200 MAN & GRAUER PL	EXAMINER		
39533 WOODWARD AVENUE SUITE 140 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48304-0610			SALZMAN, KOURTNEY R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/20/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/808,180	HEREMANS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	KOURTNEY R. SALZMAN	1795				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 F	ebruary 2008.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
,—	—					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-25 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	n from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the lead rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the lead rawing(s) is objected to be lead t	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte				

Art Unit: 1795

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The Amendment filed February 21, 2008 has been entered and fully considered.

2. Claims 1-7 have been fully considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

4. Claims 1-3, 5, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over SUZUKI et al (US PG PUB 2002/0026856 A1).

Regarding claims 1-3, SUZUKI et al teaches a thermoelectric material with a particle size of 0.5-100 nanometers in the abstract. SUZUKI et al further defines a thermoelectric material as being PbTe in paragraph 4. Since this is the same material as required by the instant application, it would inherently have the same phonon-limited mean free path, as it should be comparable for similar matrix material of a similar size. It would be obvious for the thermoelectric material of SUZUKI et al to inherently have the same mean free path as that of the instant application because they are the same material having the same grain size.

Regarding claim 5, in conjunction with the previous rejection of claim 1, SUZUKI et al also teaches, in paragraph 4, a thermoelectric material to be Bi2Te3.

Paragraph 16 also discloses the use of the thermoelectric material also being present with their solid solutions.

Art Unit: 1795

Regarding claim 7, in conjunction with the previous rejection of claim 1, SUZUKI et al teaches a thermoelectric material of particle size .5-100 nanometers, in the abstract.

5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over SUZUKI et al (US 2002/0026856) in view of KUDMAN et al (US 3,737,345)

SUZUKI et al teaches all the limitations of claim 1.

SUZUKI et al fails to teach the any of the materials listed in the instant application.

Regarding claim 4, in conjunction with the previous rejection of claim 1, KUDMAN et al teaches a thermoelectric element comprising, "a body of PbTe and/or PbSe". (column 1, lines 45-47)

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the PbSe material disclosed in KUDMAN et al to make the thermoelectric material of SUZUKI et al, because KUDMAN et al discloses the benefits of the PbSe materials. In column 1, lines 14-19, KUDMAN et al teaches, "Among the most efficient thermoelectric elements for the thermoelectric generation of power at temperatures above 200°C are thermoelectric elements

Art Unit: 1795

comprising PbTe (lead telluride) and/or PbSe (lead selenide)". The efficiency of this material as disclosed in KUDMAN et al make the use of them in the thermoelectric material of SUZUKI et al an obvious choice in the art.

6. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over SUZUKI et al, in view of SHARP (US 6,169,245).

SUZUKI et al teaches all the limitations of claim 1.

SUZUKI et al fails to explicitly teach the use of BiSb as a thermoelectric material.

SHARP teaches the use of thermoelectric materials including ternary penta telluride and selenide compounds. SHARP acknowledges, in column 2, lines 33-36, the use of BiSb as a thermoelectric material.

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a BiSb material, as disclosed in SHARP et al, as the thermoelectric material of SUZUKI et al because SHARP et al discloses the use of BiSb as a thermoelectric material known in the art for "thirty or forty years ago", in column 2, lines 33-34. The fact that the BiSb material is established and well known in the art for its thermoelectric capabilities, makes the addition of the BiSb material as disclosed in SHARP and obvious choice as a thermoelectric material as in SUZUKI et al, for its commonly known use in the art.

Art Unit: 1795

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments, see page 6, second paragraph, filed February 21, 2008, with respect to the interpretation of the phonon-limited mean free parth have been fully considered and are persuasive. The 102 and 103 rejections of claims 1-7 has been withdrawn.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KOURTNEY R. SALZMAN whose telephone number is (571)270-5117. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday 6:30AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1795

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

krs 8/14/2008

/Kaj K Olsen/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795